

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT				1. CONTRACT ID CODE		PAGE OF PAGES 1 8	
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0002		3. EFFECTIVE DATE 10 SEP 2003		4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO. 03-AS-024		5. PROJECT NO. (If applicable)	
6. ISSUED BY CODE		N65540		7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than Item 6) CODE			
NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CENTER, CARDEROCK CODE 3352, A. STRACCIOLINI 5001 SOUTH BROAD STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19112-1403 215-897-1371 stracciolinia@nswccd.navy.mil				(√) X		9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. N65540-03-R-0073	
						9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 24 July 2003	
						10A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.	
						10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., street, county, State, and ZIP Code)				CODE		FACILITY CODE	
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS							
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers <input type="checkbox"/> is extended, <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is not extended.							
Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning <u>2</u> copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter of telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGEMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.							
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)							
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS, IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.							
(√)		A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.					
		B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(b)					
		C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:					
		D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)					
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return <u>2</u> copies to the issuing office.							
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)							

SEE PAGE 2.

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.

15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or Print)	
15B. CONTRACT/OFFEROR		16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	
15C. DATE SIGNED		16C. DATE SIGNED	
(Signature of person authorized to sign)		(Signature of Contracting Officer)	

PART A. THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ARE PROVIDED FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY AND DO NOT BECOME PART OF THE SOLICITATION.

1. QUESTION: Reference Section B (3) of the Statement of Work. May the contractor support this requirement by traveling technicians to Japan in lieu of permanently basing them there?

ANSWER: NO. TECHNICIANS MUST BE PERMANENTLY ASSIGNED IN JAPAN.

2. QUESTION: Reference Section C(2)(e) of the Statement of Work. May we have more clarification on this particular requirement to include:

a. Question: Possible Locations?

ANSWER: SEE PART B HEREIN.

b. Question: Specific communication supplies and services (quantities of types of long distance trunking lines, area networks, and mobile transceiver services?)

ANSWER: SEE PART B HEREIN.

c. Question: Do these services need to be compatible with existing infrastructure?

ANSWER: SEE PART B HEREIN.

d. Question: Regarding the required communication services and supplies described under C(2)(e) of the SOW, which Item in Section B are these supplies and services covered?

ANSWER: HOW THESE COSTS ARE ACCOUNTED IS AT THE DISCRETION OF THE OFFEROR. THE SOLICITATION IS CLEAR ON ITS FACE.

3. Question: Reference is made to Section F(1) of the Statement of Work. May the contractor have facilities located in Portsmouth that meet or exceed the requirements in lieu of Chesapeake?

ANSWER: NO. FACILITIES MUST BE IN CHESAPEAKE, VA.

4. Question: Reference is made to clause CAR L-11, which states "the technical proposal shall be limited to 100 pages". Do the resumes count as part of the 100 pages?

ANSWER: SEE PART B HEREIN.

PART B: THE FOLLOWING CHANGES ARE HEREBY INCORPORATED INTO THE SUBJECT SOLICITATION:

1. Under Section C(2)(e) of the Statement of Work, the following sentences are added:

The services to be provided will include local and long distance telephone service. A possible location of these services is Chesapeake, Va. These services will need to be compatible with existing infrastructure.

2. Reference is made to clause CAR L-11, under section (2) Technical Proposal, the following sentence is modified: "The technical proposal shall be limited to 100 pages, inclusive of resumes."

3. The attached Past Performance Questionnaire is incorporated in the RFP as Attachment I.



PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRE
(RFP N65540-03-R-0073)

Contractor to be evaluated:

Customer:

Contract Number:

Period of Performance:

has proposed on a cost plus fixed fee indefinite delivery indefinite quantity solicitation from the Naval Surface Warfare Center Carderock Division Philadelphia, PA for Engineering and Technical Services in support of the Fleet Operational and Material Readiness of Hull, Maintenance and Electrical (HM&E) systems and equipment on US Naval Ships.

Past performance is an extremely important part of the evaluation criteria for this acquisition.

N65540-03-R-0073 Attachment I

Please provide an honest assessment and return by

Fax to: Naval Surface Warfare Center Carderock Division Philadelphia

Name: Albert Stracciolini

Fax Number: 215-897-7994

Contract Type:

(check all that apply)

Negotiated _____

Sealed Bid _____

Fixed Price _____

Indefinite Quantity Indefinite Delivery _____

Cost Reimbursement _____

Other _____

Complexity of Work: Difficult _____

Routine _____

Ratings: Summarize Contractor performance and circle the appropriate performance rating for each category. An explanation of the rating scale is provided below.

Outstanding - Contractor performance in this area exceeded contract requirements for a significant amount of the time.

Good - Contractor performance in this area generally met and sometimes exceeded contract requirements.

Satisfactory - The contractor generally met contract requirements in this area but experienced some problems.

Unsatisfactory - The contractor experienced several significant problems in this area during contract performance.

1. CONFORMANCE WITH STATEMENT OF WORK: Evaluate the contractor's performance with respect to compliance with complying with the statement of work under your contract.

Rating:

Outstanding

Good

Satisfactory

Unsatisfactory

Comments:

2. QUALITY OF PRODUCT: Evaluate the contractor's performance with respect to compliance with contract requirements, accuracy of reports, appropriateness of personnel, technical excellence.

Rating:

Outstanding Good Satisfactory Unsatisfactory

Comments:

3. TIMELINESS OF PERFORMANCE: Met interim milestones, responsive to technical direction, completed on time.

Rating:

Outstanding Good Satisfactory Unsatisfactory

Comments:

4. CONTROLLING AND FORECASTING COSTS: Evaluate the contractor's performance with respect to accurately and realistically estimating costs and managing and controlling costs.

Rating:

Outstanding Good Satisfactory Unsatisfactory

Comments:

5. CUSTOMER SATISFACTION: Satisfaction of end users with the contractor's service.

Rating:

Outstanding Good Satisfactory Unsatisfactory

Comments:

6. SMALL BUSINESS SUBCONTRACTING PROGRAM (To be completed by Government activities only for evaluation of large businesses): Describe the efforts and results achieved by the Contractor in pursuit of its responsibilities outlined in FAR 52.219-9, Small Business Subcontracting Plan, and DFARS 252.219-7003, "Small, Small Disadvantaged and Women-Owned Small Business Subcontracting Plan (DoD Contracts).

Rating:

Outstanding Good Satisfactory Unsatisfactory

Comments:

Would you select this contractor again? Please explain your answer.

Please provide any other relevant information on performance under the cited contract:

I hereby certify that the information provided is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge.

Respondent's Name and Title: _____
(print or type)

Company: _____

Signature: _____ Date: _____

Phone Number: _____ Fax Number: _____